- CLY. Do not, do not, my beloved one, let us plunge in ill more deep.
 - Here we have a crop already of full many a woe to reap.
 - Suffering there is here in plenty: let us have no blood-shed now.
 - Haste—depart, old men, in safety to the homes your Fates allow,
 - Ere you do or suffer mischief: we but acted as was willed.
 - Oh! if ever man had troubles, sure our souls with them were filled,
 - Crushed and beaten to our ruin by the demon's anger stern.
 - Here you have a woman's counsel, should there be a wish to learn.
- Æg. But that these should fling upon me their tongue-valiant folly's bloom,
 - And should throw about such language, madly playing with their doom,
 - And desert the path of judgment, and resist the ruler's will—
- Cно. 'Tis not in an Argive's nature, thus to court a man of ill.
- Æg. Well, in after days thou'lt find me following close upon thy track.
- Cho. Never, should the favouring powers vouchsafe to lead Orestes back.
- Æg. Ah, I know that banished people make their daily food of hope.

the same sense. In that case we should probably have to read $\tau\iota s$ for $\tau\iota\iota$ with Schutz.

1635. This line also cannot be printed complete in the present state of the text. Neither Peile's προσκυνεῖν (a supposed apposition with σώφρονος γνώμης) nor Blomfield's δ (Paley θ) ὑβρίσαι are abstractedly unlikely: the latter I have

followed in the translation. The infinitives are obviously indignant exclamations, as in Aristoph. Aves vv. 5. 7, and in Virgil's Mene desistere, &c., being, as noticed above, really nouns, so that any proposal to supply the lacuna with words like $\pi\hat{\omega}s$ $\pi\rho\hat{\epsilon}\pi\epsilon\iota$ (Klausen) is not likely to be the true one.