

δύαι διδάσκειν ἔξοχώταται φρενῶν  
ἰατρομάντεις. οὐχ ὄρᾶς ὄρῶν τάδε;  
πρὸς κέντρα μὴ λάκτιζε, μὴ παίσας μογῆς.

XO. γύναι, σὺ τοὺς ἥκοντας ἐκ μάχης νέον  
οἰκουρὸς εὖνην ἀνδρὸς αἰσχύνουσ' ἄμα—;  
ἀνδρὶ στρατηγῷ τόνδ' ἐβούλευσας μόρον.

AI. καὶ ταῦτα τάπῃ κλαυμάτων ἀρχηγενῆ.  
Ὀρφεῖ δὲ γλῶσσαν τὴν ἐναντίαν ἔχεις.  
ὁ μὲν γὰρ ἦγε πάντ' ἀπὸ φθογγῆς χαρᾶ,  
σὺ δὲ ἔξορίνας ἡπίοις ὑλάγμασιν  
ἄξει· κρατηθεὶς δὲ ἡμερώτερος φανεῖ.

XO. ὡς δὴ σύ μοι τύραννος Ἀργείων ἔσει,

1624. πήσας.

*δεσμὸς*, which makes indeed a construction but would be intolerably obscure, as suggesting irresistibly that *τὸ γῆρας* is nominative and coupled to *δεσμὸς* by *καὶ*.—*καὶ τὸ γῆρας...διδάσκειν* to teach even your age. The infinitive is explanatory, depending on *ἔξοχ*. *εἰσὶν ιατροί*.—*τὸ γῆρας* has the article (*the, or rather that, such*) as referring to *γέρων* preceding. In the archaic language of Aeschylus the ‘article’ is still felt as a demonstrative, and very rarely employed except where it is indispensable.

1623. *Doth not this sight warn thee?* literally ‘dost not thou beware, seeing this?’ a kind of play on the two senses of the word.—*τάδε* the whole scene.

1624. Cf. P. V. 339.—*μὴ παίσας* (schol. on Pind. Pyth. 2. 173) *μογῆς* lest hitting thou hurt thyself.

1625. *Thou woman!* To thee, who abodest at home, helping to defile a brave man’s bed, to thee shall warriors fresh returned from battle—? It is a captain of soldiers whose death thou hast thus contrived! The interrogative sentence *γύναι...ἄμα—*; which requires to complete it a verb such as *κρατήσεις* or *καταστρέψει*, is broken off in the violence of indignation, and the point of it is expressed in another shape. Similarly the translation requires the completion *yield*

or *submit*: the change of form is made necessary by the order of words in an uninflected language.—*αἰσχύνουσα* principle of the imperfect. *ἄμα*: with another woman, the wife.—The elders (if this speaker be not rather a surviving soldier) threaten the murderer with the vengeance of the veterans. But these are already slain or overpowered, which is part of Aegisthus’ meaning in his reply.—*μένων* (for *νέον*) Wieseler, *αἰσχύνων* Keck, but without reason. It is possible that v. 1627 is an interpolation, formed in part from v. 1634: if so, it would be by Aegisthus that the speaker is interrupted. But it is a strong objection to this, that the only motive for interpolation would be to complete the construction, which v. 1627 does not complete. I believe the text to be sound.

1630. *ἀπὸ φθογγῆς χαρᾶ*: see vv. 1365, 1412 etc.

1631. *ἡπίοις* *soothing*, properly applicable to the music with which Orpheus tamed the beasts, is transferred to the *ὑλάγματα* in irony.—*νηπίοις* Jacob.

1632. *ἄξει*: i.e. *ἀπάξει*, passive, *wilt be haled to prison*.

1633. *ὡς δὴ...ἔσει* *thou forsooth shalt be* etc.: another elliptical phrase of indignation for ‘(do you mean forsooth) that you shall be?’ This ellipse became