The use of this dative 'absolute' is particularly natural here (and for a similar reason in *Theb.* 217) where the genitive case is appropriated, so to speak, by  $\kappa o \pi \epsilon i \sigma \eta s$ . As to this genitive itself, which is sometimes suspected, it would seem that no other case could be used:  $\kappa o \pi \epsilon i \sigma a \nu$  with  $\mu \epsilon \nu \epsilon \iota$  would hardly be correct; a present or future participle would be required. But  $\kappa o \pi \epsilon i \sigma \eta s$  as explained in the note is really general and therefore properly in the aorist.