ΚΗ. ἤκουσα τοὔπος οὐδαμῶς φιλόξενον.

ΒΑ. οὐ γὰρ ξενοῦμαι τοὺς θεῶν συλήτορας.

ΚΗ. λέγοιμ' αν ελθων παισίν Αἰγύπτου τάδε.

ΒΑ. ἀβουκόλητον τοῦτ' ἐμῷ φρονήματι.

ΚΗ. ἀλλ' ὡς ἀν εἰδως ἐννέπω σαφέστερον— (930)
καὶ γὰρ πρέπει κήρυκ' ἀπαγγέλλειν τορῶς ἔκαστα—πῶς φῶ; πρὸς τίνος τ' ἀφαιρεθεὶς 900 ἥκειν γυναικῶν αὐτανέψιον στόλον;
οὔ τοι δικάζει ταῦτα μαρτύρων ὕπο ᾿Αρης, τὸ νεῖκος δ' οὐκ ἐν ἀργύρου βλάβη (935)

894 Licetne σου 'πος? 896 λέγοις M, corr. Heath. Similiter Prom. V. 758 ἤδοιμ' ἄν vel ἡδοίμην ἄν pro ἥδοι' ἄν in MSS. est. 899 $\epsilon \bar{v}$ γὰρ Schwerdt. ἀπαγγέλειν Μ. 901 ἤκοιν Μ. ἤκειν sec. man. 902—905 regi dat Kvičala. Ante v. 918 ponit Schuetz, post v. 918 Hermann. Lacunam praecedere suspicatur Weil. Vide tamen adnot. 903 λαβ $\hat{\eta}$ M et omnes. βλάβ η scripsi : vide infra.

894. τοὔπος...φιλόξενον: the adjective is of course predicative, ἤκουσα taking the construction of ηὖρον: "in that word I hear no hospitable sound".

895. **ξενοῦμαι**, mecum hospitio iungo, whence the middle. Elsewhere $\xi \epsilon \nu o \hat{\nu} \mu \alpha \iota$ is passive, while $\xi \epsilon \nu \delta \omega$ does not occur at all in this sense.

897. ἀβουκόλητον: cf. Ag. 669 ἐβουκολοῦμεν φροντίσιν νέον πάθος, and note on v. 65. "Not my concern".

φρονήματι, with the notion of "pride".
"I am not so poor-spirited as to care &c."

900. πρὸς τίνος τ'...τε is exegetical. Cf. v. 42.

901. Construe ηκειν ἀφαιρεθεὶς αὐτα-νέψιον—στόλον—γυναικῶν, i. e. "me redire, feminarum caterva privatum"; not ἀφαιρεθεὶς γυναικῶν, ηκειν αὐτ. στ. (i.e. "me redire ad patrueles, feminis privatum"). ηκειν is best used absolutely, and ἀφαιρεῖσθαι with gen. rei is very rare. Moreover the point lies in his going back without the cousins (and τυο-men too) to whom they have such a claim, not in his going back to the women's own cousins.

902-905. Much difficulty has been made with these lines, it being assumed

that the retort of the king $\tau \ell$ σοι λέγειν χρη τοὔνομ'; should follow immediately on the herald's question $\pi \rho \delta s$ $\tau \ell v o s$ $\tau' \kappa.\tau.\lambda$. It was also not understood what bearing these lines have upon the herald's enquiry. Lacunae and transpositions do not mend matters. At the end of v. 901 the herald pauses, and then, to remove a possible false impression created by his question, he adds "it is true that ...", i. e. "I ask your name,—not, however, because this is a matter to be settled in the courts, but by war. We do not ask your name in order to arraign you".

895

903. "Aρηs, emphatic in this position. The name of the judge is kept a while and then brought out with effect.

βλάβη. This seems a necessary correction. $\lambda \alpha \beta \dot{\eta}$ is a very common word and always means either a handle or a grasp. We have no authority for treating it as = $\lambda \hat{\eta} \psi \iota s$, which would be the only sense apposite to the passage. Connected with ἀργύρου and δικάζει there can be no metaphor from e.g. wrestling. $\lambda \alpha \beta \dot{\eta}$ and $\beta \lambda \dot{\alpha} \beta \eta$ are frequently and naturally confused. A certain instance is found in Cho. 498 τὰs ὁμοίας ἀντίδος βλάβας ($\lambda \alpha \beta \dot{\alpha} s$ Canter) $\lambda \alpha \beta \epsilon \hat{\iota} v$. We require