ἔνθ' ὑμὶν ἔστι συζύγους ναίειν δόμους πολλῶν μετ' ἄλλων' εἰ δέ τις μείζων χάρις, (960) πάρεστιν οἰκεῖν καὶ μονορρύμους δόμους.

927  $\epsilon \dot{v}\theta v \mu \epsilon \hat{v} v$  M, corr. Kirchhoff.  $\epsilon \ddot{v}\theta v \mu o v$  Turnebus.  $\epsilon l \theta v \mu o s$  vel  $\ddot{\epsilon} \tau o \iota \mu o v$  Stanley,  $\ddot{\epsilon} v \theta' \ddot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \iota v \dot{v} \mu \hat{v} v$  Weil.  $\ddot{\epsilon} v \theta' \dot{v} \mu \dot{v} v$  Martin. Deinde  $\dot{\epsilon} v \tau v \chi o \dot{v} \sigma \eta \delta \delta \mu o s$  M. Otiosum est

Porsoni εὐτύκους: languet Turnebi εὐτυχεῖς. Neque placet ἐνσυχοις (i.e. ἐν συχνοῖς, de quo primo cogitabam). Immo εΝΤΥΧΟΥС e CYZΥΓΟΥC factum est. Vide adnot.

929 μονορύθμους Μ. μονορρύθμους vulgo. μονορρύμους scripsi.

δ δημος, οὐδ' ἐγω, "nor am I, either,
stinted...".

For χερί of liberality cf. Cho. 257 πδθεν | ἔξεις όμοίας χειρός εὔθοινον γέρας;

927. The conjecture of Kirchhoff has been accepted with some hesitation. The forms vulv, julv are somewhat doubtful in Aeschylus. ἀμὶν ἐκράνθη is quoted from Eum. 347. In S. c. T. aum occurs in a dochmiac verse, and in Eum. 620 possibly be true here and aumin in Eum. 347. That Aeschylus should shew no more traces of the convenient form ὑμὶν &c., which Sophocles uses so freely, is rather an argument against it here; and it is perhaps more probable that he would have used the obviously un-Attic υμμιν than have availed himself of what he seems to regard as a mere metrical license. Moreover it may be argued against  $\psi \mu l \nu$  that he could have said  $\xi \nu \theta$ ἔστιν ὑμῖν without difficulty and with less emphasis upon  $\dot{\nu}\mu\hat{\imath}\nu$ . The true reading may be something quite different, e.g. el 8' eupevés ti (sc. éotl) with a suppressed apodosis and followed by  $\epsilon i \delta \hat{\epsilon}$ with an alternative; i.e. "if it is a pleasing thing to you to live with others, (zvell, do so), but if on the contrary...". εί δ' εύμενές τι with answering εί δέ τις μείζων χάρις seems complete, and -ές τι would naturally pass into the verb ἔστι.

συζύγους. This conjecture is borne out by the antithesis with μονορρύμους. The metaphor is from horses yoked in harness. The correction μονορρύμους

is to be made first for independent reasons. ρυθμός is used of time or measure in motion or music; and though a man marching, or a horse moving in a chariot, is said to have a ρυθμός, and a pair of horses have a common δυθμός ("the pace", cf. ἐρρύθμισμαι P. V. 241, and Cho. 697 τίς αν σωζόμενον ρυθμον τοῦτ' ἴδοι διὰ πέδον ἀνομένων βημάτων ὄρεγμα;), yet we can scarcely speak of a house having a  $\dot{\rho}\upsilon\theta\mu\delta s$ . The latter part of the compound cannot be treated as (even metaphorically) meaningless. See on οἰόφρων 772. A house cannot even metaphorically have a  $\dot{\rho}\nu\theta\mu\delta s$ , and, more important still, the meaning of the compound would be "of but a single (i.e. with but a single kind of) pace".

μονδρρυμος, on the other hand, can be used metaphorically of a stationary object, and is good as contrasting the small and isolated with the large and connected houses. The forms δίρρυμος, τρίρρυμος (Pers. 47), τετράρρυμος (Xen. Cyr. VI. I. 51) are found. The king offers a choice of houses, either separate or in the larger "συνοικίαι, where, as in the Roman insulae, many families resided under one roof" (Paley). These latter are σύζυγοι δόμοι i.e. "abodes yoked with other abodes", as opposed to μονδρρυμοι δόμοι "houses of but a single pole". Inf. 979 Danaus says

οἴκησις δὲ καὶ διπλη πάρα· τὴν μὲν Πελασγὸς τὴν δὲ καὶ πόλις διδοῖ οἰκεῖν λάτρων ἄτερθεν.

This shews that the promise here made