Laïus, the Oedipus, and the extant Seven against Thebes; the satyric drama being the Sphinx. From the Laïus only a few words remain; from the Oedipus, three verses; but some general idea of the Oedipus may be gathered from a passage in the Seven against Thebes (772—791). Oedipus had been pictured by Aeschylus, as he is pictured by Sophocles, at the height of fame and power. He who had delivered Thebes from 'the devouring pest' (τὰν ἀρπαξάνδραν κῆρα) was admired by all Thebans as the first of men. 'But when, hapless one, he came to knowledge of his ill-starred marriage, impatient of his pain, with frenzied heart he wrought a twofold ill': he blinded himself, and called down on his sons this curse, that one day they should divide their heritage with the sword. 'And now I tremble lest the swift Erinnys bring it to pass.'

Hence we see that the Oedipus of Aeschylus included the imprecation of Oedipus upon his sons. This was essential to the poet's main purpose, which was to exhibit the continuous action of the Erinnys in the house. Similarly the Laïus doubtless included the curse called down on Laïus by Pelops, when bereft by him of his son Chrysippus. The true climax of the Aeschylean Oedipus would thus have consisted, not in the discovery alone, but in the discovery followed by the curse. And we may safely infer that the process of discovery indicated in the Seven against Thebes by the words $\epsilon \pi \epsilon l \delta' a \rho \tau l \phi \rho \omega \nu \mid \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \tau \sigma ... \gamma a \mu \omega \nu (778)$ was not comparable with that in the play of Sophocles. It was probably much more abrupt, and due to some of those more mechanical devices which were ordinarily employed to bring about a 'recognition' on the stage. The Oedipus of Aeschylus, however brilliant, was only a link in a chain which derived its essential unity from 'the mindful Erinnys.'

§ 7. The *Oedipus Tyrannus* of Sophocles was not part of a Sophocles. trilogy, but a work complete in itself. The proper climax of such a work was the discovery, considered in its immediate effects, not in its ulterior consequences. Here the constructive art of the dramatist would be successful in proportion as the discovery was naturally prepared, approached by a process of rising interest, and attended in the moment of fulfilment with the most

J. S.