

In the Bodleian Library, Oxford.

Cod. Laud. Misc. 99 (now Auct. F. 3. 25), late 14th century.

Cod. Laud. 54, early 15th cent.

Cod. Barocc. 66, 15th cent.

In the Library of Trinity College, Cambridge.

Cod. R. 3. 31, mainly of the late 14th century, in parts perhaps of the early 15th.

These MSS. I have myself collated.

The following are known to me in some cases by slighter personal inspection, but more largely from previous collations, especially from those of Prof. L. Campbell (2nd ed., 1879):—Pal. = Palat. 40, Heidelberg: Vat. a = cod. 40 in the Vatican, 13th cent. (ascribed by some to the 12th): Vat. b, cod. Urbin. 141, *ib.*, 14th cent.: Vat. c, cod. Urbin. 140, *ib.*, 14th cent.: M, cod. G. 43 sup., in the Biblioteca Ambrosiana, Milan, 13th or early 14th cent.: M<sup>2</sup>, cod. L. 39 sup., *ib.*, early 14th cent.: L<sup>2</sup>, cod. 31. 10 (14th cent.) in the Bibliot. Med.-Lor., Florence; Γ, cod. Abbat. 152, late 13th, *ib.*: Δ, cod. Abbat. 41, 14th cent., *ib.*: Ricc. cod. 34, in the Biblioteca Riccardiana, Florence, sometimes ascribed to the 14th cent., but really of the 16th (see P. N. Papageorgius, 'cod. Laurent. von Soph.', etc., p. 406, Leipzig, Teubner, 1883).

In making a first selection of MSS. to be collated, I was guided chiefly by what I already knew of their character and of their relations to each other, as these might be inferred from the previous reports; and this list was afterwards modified by such light as I gradually gained from my own experience. L and A being placed apart, several MSS. exist, equal in age and quality to some of those named above; but, so far as I am able to judge, the list which has been given may be said to be fairly representative. In the present state of our knowledge, even after all that has been done in recent years, it would, I think, be generally allowed that the greatest reserve must still be exercised in regard to any theory of the connections existing, whether by descent or by contamination, between our MSS. of Sophocles. We have not here to do with well-marked families, in the sense in which this can be said of the manuscript authorities for some other ancient texts; the data are often exceedingly complex, and such that the facts could be equally well explained by any one of two, or sometimes more, different suppositions. This is a subject with which I hope to deal more fully on a future occasion; even a slight treatment of it would carry me far beyond the limits which must be kept here. Meanwhile, it may be