

giving in many places the true readings of the old scholia in the ms., and also some old lemmata and scholia hitherto unpublished¹.

¹ His transcript of an old schol. on v. 35, p. 20, enables me to supplement my crit. note on $\sigma\gamma'$ in 35. An old schol. there in L runs, $\sigma\gamma\ \tau\epsilon\ \mu\omicron\lambda\omega\acute{\nu}\ \acute{\alpha}\sigma\tau\upsilon\ \text{Καδμείον},$ $\acute{\iota}\nu\alpha\ \kappa\alpha\iota\ \acute{\eta}\ \acute{\alpha}\pi\omicron\ \xi\acute{\epsilon}\nu\eta\varsigma\ \acute{\alpha}\nu\tau\omicron\upsilon\ \acute{\alpha}\phi\iota\zeta\iota\varsigma\ \delta\eta\lambda\omega\theta\eta\grave{\iota}$ (the parent, doubtless, of the corrupt $\acute{\omega}\sigma\tau\epsilon\ \mu\omicron\lambda\acute{\epsilon}\iota\upsilon\ \acute{\alpha}\sigma\tau\upsilon\ \text{Καδμείον}$). The reading $\sigma\gamma\ \tau'$, then, claims such weight as is due to the fact that it was recognised by the scholiast: but this circumstance does not affect the preference which, on other grounds, seems due to $\sigma\gamma'$.