

ὅς σ' ἐστὶ τὰ Τροίας πεδίον ἀποστελῶ βίᾳ,
ἐάν τ' Ἀχιλλέως παῖς ἐάν τε μὴ θέλῃ.
ΦΙ. ἀλλ' οὐ τι χαίρων, ἦν τόδ' ὄρθωθῆ βέλος.
ΝΕ. ἂ, μηδαμῶς, μή, πρὸς θεῶν, μεθῆς βέλος. 1300
ΦΙ. μέθες με, πρὸς θεῶν, χεῖρα, φίλτατον τέκνου.
ΝΕ. οὐκ ἀν μεθείην. ΦΙ. φεῦ· τί μ' ἄνδρα πολέμιον
ἐχθρόν τ' ἀφείλον μὴ κτανεῖν τόξοις ἐμοῖς;
ΝΕ. ἀλλ' οὔτ' ἐμοὶ τοῦτ' ἐστὶν οὔτε σοὶ καλόν.
ΦΙ. ἀλλ' οὖν τοσοῦτόν γ' ἵσθι, τοὺς πρώτους στρατοῦ, 1305
τοὺς τῶν Ἀχαιῶν ψευδοκήρυκας, κακοὺς
ὄντας πρὸς αἰχμήν, ἐν δὲ τοῖς λόγοις θρασεῖς.
ΝΕ. εἶεν· τὰ μὲν δὴ τόξον ἔχεις, κούκησθε *οὗτον
οργὴν ἔχοις ἀν οὐδὲ μέμψιν εἰς ἐμέ.
ΦΙ. ξύμφημι· τὴν φύσιν δ' ἔδειξας, ὡς τέκνου, 1310

proposes to delete ἐπησθόμην (which is omitted by B), and to write ΟΔ. Ὁδυσσέως, σάφ' ἵσθι κ.τ.λ. 1297 πεδία ἀποστελῶ L. Cp. cr. n. on 1138. 1300 ἄ Triclinius: ἄ L (made by S from ἄ): ἄ ἄ (or ἄ ἄ) r. Seyffert, adopting a suggestion of Hermann's, writes ἄ, | μὴ μηδαμῶς, μή, κ.τ.λ.—μεθῆς] Nauck writes ἀφῆς: Meineke conj. μὴ 'φῆς. 1302 μεθείην] μεθείμην B.—τί μ' r: τιν' L. 1303 κτανεῖν] θανεῖν Triclinius. 1304 ἀλλ' οὔτ' ἐμοὶ καλὸν τοῦτ' ἐστὶν οὔτε σοὶ MSS.: Wake-

1297 ἀποστελῶ, here, ‘convey away’: cp. 983 στελοῦσι (=ἀξουσιν in 985).

1299 ἀλλ' οὐ τι χαίρων: a regular formula in threats,—most forcible when, as here, the verb is left to be supplied from the last speaker's words: so Eur. *Or.* 1592 f. OP....ἀρκέσω δ' ἐγὼ λέγων. | ME. ἀλλ' οὐ τι χαίρων, ἦν γε μὴ φυγῆς πτεροῖς. Her. 3. 36 ἀπὸ δὲ ὠλεσας Κῦρον πειθόμενόν σοι. ἀλλ' οὐ τι χαίρων, ἐπεὶ κ.τ.λ. Sometimes, again, the verb is expressed: as in *O. T.* 363: Ar. *Ran.* 843 ἀλλ' οὐ τι χαίρων αὐτὸν ἐρεῖς: id. *Ach.* 563 ἀλλ' οὐ τι (so Bentley for οὐδὲ) χαίρων ταῦτα τολμήσει λέγειν.—ὄρθωθῆ, be directed straight: fr. 430. 5 ὄρθωται κανῶν. Cp. the fig. sense in *Ant.* 675 τῶν...ὄρθουμένων, ‘lives whose course is fair.’

1300 ἄ, in reproof, as *O. T.* 1147 ἄ, μὴ κόλαζε: Aesch. *Ag.* 1087 ἄ, ποι ποτὸν ἥγαγές με; the doubled ἄ ἄ also occurs in trimeters, as Eur. *Or.* 1598 OP. ἔσται ταῦτα. ME. ἄ ἄ, μηδαμῶς ὄράσῃς ταῦτα.

μεθῆς is altered to ἀφῆς by Nauck, who thinks that μέθες in 1301 caused the error. But two points claim notice. (1) μεθῆς, ‘permit to escape from thy hand,’ ‘allow to fly,’ is a more forcible word

than ἀφῆς (‘discharge’) when, as here, the archer is at the very point of shooting. Cp. *O. T.* 784 τῷ μεθέντι τὸν λόγον, ‘who had let that taunt escape him’ (with n. there). Xen. *Cyr.* 4. 3 § 9 παλτὰ...οῖς καὶ μεθιέντες καὶ ἔχοντες χρώμεθ’ ἄν (‘darts which will serve us, whether our hands release or retain them’). (2) μεθῆς is not suspicious, because μέθες in 1301 has a different application. Cp. n. on 762.

1301 μέθες με...χεῖρα: the second acc. defines the part: cp. *Il.* 11. 240 τὸν δ' ἄστρι πλῆξεν αὐχένα: *Ant.* 319 ὁ δρῶν σ' ἀνιᾶ τὰς φρένας.

1302 f. τί μ' ἄνδρα πολέμιον κ.τ.λ. At first sight it might appear simplest to suppose that ἀφείλον governs a double accus., μὴ κτανεῖν being epexegetic (‘thou hast robbed me of the man, so that I should not slay him’). But other passages show that there was an idiomatic use of ἀφαιροῦμαι with the inf., in which it was nearly equivalent to κωλύω. Pindar *I.* 1. 60 πάντα δ' ἔξειπεν...|...ἀφαιρεῖται βραχὺ μέτρον ἔχων | ὕμνος, ‘hinders from uttering.’ Eur. *Andr.* 913 κάκτεινας, ἦ τις συμφορά σ' ἀφείλετο; (i.e., τὸ κτεῖναι,—‘prevented thee’). Eur. *Tro.* 1145