## THE PHOTOGRAPHIC NEWS.

Vol. XI. No. 440.—February 8, 1867.

## CONTENTS.

P	AGE
Analysis of Developing Powders	61
Critical Notices	63
The Reason Why. By the Photographer's Assistant	63
On the Employment of Carbolic Acid in Photography. By Dr. P. J. Kaiser	64
Raising the Prices of Portraiture	65
Prevention of Blisters in Collodio-Albumen Plates,—Iodide of Aluminum in Collodion	65
Brown Stains from Intensifying	77.7731
Photography in China and Japan	66
Augiography in China and supations and superior and super	

our our

and

nigh

ace,

I be

dily that ther ence lens seen as a at it nser ages thall

ing,
idge
s of

nce,

tion.

ound

how the sec-

ride

ong.

As a on to

egate se of

as if

shlyfull-

defi-

ny of this

No

ture

100th

haps

us by

copic size

elect-

rded

eld, a

the and The

h has

er as albuighly itrate aper.
citric cold ld be of the very ently

which es in ndred not We

go to

which

nenn-

ephen

	PAGE
Enlarging on Paper Prepared with Nitro-glucose	. 66
The Clouds. By Rev. H. J. Morton, D.D.	. 68
The Glouds. By Rev. H. J. Molecul, D. D. Defactive Cameras.	-
Correspondence-Opal Printing Frames-Defective Cameras-	
Sharpness and Hardness-Splitting of Negative Films-	
Tone-Diffusion of Focus; A New Idea-A Drop toward	000
Development-The Woodbury Process	. 03
Talk in the Studio	. 71
Talk in the Studio	
To Correspondents	. 72
Photographs Registered	7.9
PHOIOTRIBE INCERNICAL ARCHERTAGES PARCEL STREET	

## ANALYSIS OF DEVELOPING POWDERS.

Some weeks ago we published the summarized result of an analysis of an organic developing powder. The analysis was made by Dr. Schnauss, and published in detail in the Photographisches Archiv, and the remark was added that the developing powder was manufactured in England, and sold at three shillings and sixpence per pound. Whilst the genius of English photography and English photographers happily tends to openness and freedom of communication, and to the condemnation of secrets and nostrums, yet we do not regard secresy as to the preparation of trade articles as necessarily unfair or evil. It is undoubtedly desirable, in the practice of an art like photography, that the operator should know with what he is working, as such knowledge, if he be an educated photographer, will often modify his operations. Nevertheless, if a secret preparation be found to work well, there is no reason why its maker should not preserve his secret, and profit by the sale of his material. Here, as elsewhere, the labourer is worthy of his hire, and if the maintenance of a secret is necessary to securing that hire, no one can find fault. For this reason we should avoid publishing the result of any analysis of any popular trade preparation, or taking any steps to injure the sale or lessen the legitimate Profits arising from the issue of such a preparation.

In publishing the results of the analysis of Dr. Schnauss, we were not injuring any individual, inasmuch as no name accompanied the analysis, nor were any details of proportions given. At the time we published the summary we had no idea whatever of the maker of the developing powder in question. We knew that several such powders had come before the photographic public during the year, some of which had been hawked from studio to studio by travelling vendors. The information, in its general form, being of interest to our readers, we gave it publicity, adding Dr. Schnauss' remark that it was found to work well.

The following week we received a letter from Mr. J. W. Ramsden, stating that the powder in question was his, denying the accuracy of the analysis of Dr. Schnauss, and intimating that the Doctor appeared in a fair way to discover the mode of transmuting metals; intimating in such allusion, we presume, that his analysis indicated the presence of metallic salts which Mr. Ramsden did not use. In inserting the letter, we appended a note pointing out that as no maker's name had been mentioned, nor anything published to indicate the identity of the powder analyzed with that of

Mr. Ramsden, it was neither wise nor logical to claim the powder and dispute the correctness of the analysis.

We hoped the matter was at an end, as the discussion of misunderstandings, however necessary at times, rarely tend to the edification of readers, and always cause discomfort to the Editor. Unfortunately, however, there is another gentleman concerned in Mr. Ramsden's denial, the analyst whose results have been questioned. We have since received a letter from Dr. Schnauss, who feels his honour and ability as a chemist impugned, and asks for further investigation. We publish his letter in the English dress in which we receive it:—

"To the Editor of the Photographic News, London.

" Jena, 24th January, 1867.

"SIR,-One of the last numbers of your Journal brought an observation referring to my analysis of an English developing powder, signed by Mr. Ramsden. As the same attacks my honour as a chemist, by representing therein my investigations to be a complete failure, I see myself compelled to make a direct reply, and request you, therefore, to be so kind as to insert these lines in your Journal. Likewise, I should feel obliged by your having analyzed the bygoing [accompanying, we presume] powder by an experienced chemist. The latter may either follow the process of my analysis or choose his own way; however, the distillating of the powder must not be omitted to be done with diluted sulphuric acid, and then be subjected to a thorough examination. That the said powder contains sulphate of copper will be clear to a dillisant by only looking at it. I can assure you, upon my honour, that the by-going powder is the same as has been sent to me for analyzation as an 'English developing powder,' by one of my German eminent friends, and which I have published in the photographic Archiv. Whether this powder has been composed by Mr. Ramsden himself I am at a loss to say. The said gentleman may very easily furnish me with a sample of his genuine powder, that I might analyze it. As I have been informed, an English chemist is said to have only found in it sesquioxide of iron, which perhaps suits Mr. Ramsden better than my own closer analysis.

"I must confess myself that, on account of the complicated composition of the powder, I at first feared a mystification, as I have also mentioned to the sender of the powder.—I remain, sir, yours respectfully, Dr. J. Schnauss."

We feel it due to Dr. Schnauss, as a German chemist who has made many interesting contributions to our art-science, to comply with his request. No powder, however, accompanied his letter, and we therefore selected some from a sample of Mr. Ramsden's, in our possession for analysis, and sent to Mr. Spiller, whose position as chemist and analyst in the Chemical Department of the Royal Arsenal places